ON THE REGULARITY OF THE CRITICAL POINT INFINITY OF DEFINITIZABLE OPERATORS

Branko Ćurgus

In this note necessary and sufficient conditions for the regularity of the critical point infinity of a definitizable operator A are given. Using these criteria it is proved that the regularity of the critical point infinity is preserved under some additive perturbations as well as for some operators which are related to A . Applications to indefinite Sturm-Liouville problems are indicated.

INTRODUCTION

Let $(K,[.,.])$ be a Krein space (see [3]), J a fundamental symmetry on K , $(x,y) := [Jx,y]$ $(x,y \in K)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ the corresponding Hilbert space norm. All topological notions in the Krein space K are understood with respect to the topology generated by the norm $\|\cdot\|$. This topology does not depend on the special choice of the fundamental symmetry J . For this and other facts about Krein spaces see [3]. We use the common definitions of symmetric, positive, selfadjoint and definitizable operators in Krein spaces (see [3], [19]). In this note all these operators are supposed to be densely defined. A definitizable operator in the Krein space K has a spectral function, possibly with critical points on the real axis, see [3], [9], [19]. The spectral function of the definitizable operator A will be denoted by E , and the set of critical points of E , which are also called the critical points of A , by $c(A)$. The critical point $t \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ of the definitizable operator A is called regular (see [19], [10]) if there exists an open neighbourhood $\Delta_0 \subseteq \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ of t , $\Delta_0 \cap c(A) = \{t\}$, such that the projectors $E(\Delta)$, $\bar{\Delta} \subseteq \Delta_0 \smallsetminus \{t\}$ are uniformly

bounded. Here $\bar{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is regarded as the one point com-
pactification of $\mathbb{R}$. The critical points of A which are not
regular are called singular critical points of A ; we denote
the set of all singular critical points of A by $c_s(A)$.

    In this note we give criteria for the regularity of
the critical point ∞ of the definitizable operator A . E.g.,
we show that infinity is not a singular critical point of A if
and only if in the Krein space K there exists a positive,
bounded and boundedly invertible operator W such that
$W \mathcal{D}(A) \subsetneqq \mathcal{D}(A)$. Further, we use these criteria in order to
prove that the regularity of the critical point ∞ is preserved
under some additive perturbations as well as for some operators
which are related to A .

    We mention that the main criteria for the regularity
of the critical point ∞ given in Theorems 2.5 and 3.2 are
inspired by a construction of Beals (see [2]), see Remark 3.7.
In [5] we use the above results in order to study selfadjoint,
ordinary differential operators with an indefinite weight func-
tion.

1. PRELIMINARIES

    1.1. For the convenience of the reader we recall the
following results.

    PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let* $(H_1, (.,.)_1)$ *and* $(H_2, (.,.)_2)$
be Hilbert spaces such that H_1 *is dense in* $(H_2, (.,.)_2)$ *and*
for some constant $k > 0$ *holds* $\|x\|_1 \geqq k\|x\|_2$ $(x \in H_1)$. *Then*
there exists a positive selfadjoint operator in H_2 *with*
domain H_1 . *If* P *is any selfadjoint operator in* H_2 *with*
$\mathcal{D}(P) = H_1$, *then the norm* $\|\cdot\|_1$ *is equivalent to the graph*
norm

$$x \mapsto (\|x\|_2^2 + \|Px\|_2^2)^{1/2} \qquad (x \in \mathcal{D}(P) = H_1) . \quad (1.1)$$

    The first statement follows from Theorem 2.23 in [13].
To prove the second statement we observe that the inequality

$$(\|x\|_2^2 + \|Px\|_2^2)^{1/2} \geq \|x\|_2 \qquad (x \in H_1)$$

holds, we form a sum of the norm (1.1) and $\|\cdot\|_1$, and apply the closed graph theorem.

We note that in the case $0 \in \rho(P)$ the norm (1.1) is equivalent to the norm $x \mapsto \|Px\|_2$ ($x \in \mathcal{D}(P) = H_1$) .

THEOREM 1.2. ("Heinz inequality", see [16].) *Let* P *and* P_1 *be positive selfadjoint operators defined in the Hilbert spaces* $(H,(.,.))$ *and* $(H_1,(.,.)_1)$, *respectively. If* $T : H \to H_1$ *is a bounded operator with the norm* M *such that* $T \mathcal{D}(P) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(P_1)$ *and*

$$\|P_1 Tx\|_1 \leq M_1 \|Px\| \qquad (x \in \mathcal{D}(P)) ,$$

then, for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, *we have* $T \mathcal{D}(P^\alpha) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(P_1^\alpha)$ *and*

$$\|P_1^\alpha Tx\|_1 \leq M^{1-\alpha} M_1^\alpha \|P^\alpha x\| \qquad (x \in \mathcal{D}(P^\alpha)) .$$

The following corollary is a consequence of Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 1.1.

COROLLARY 1.3. *If* P_1 *and* P_2 *are positive selfadjoint operators in a Hilbert space* H *and* $\mathcal{D}(P_1) = \mathcal{D}(P_2)$, *then*

$$\mathcal{D}(P_1^\alpha) = \mathcal{D}(P_2^\alpha) \qquad (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1) \qquad\qquad (1.2)$$

and the corresponding graph norms on $\mathcal{D}(P_j^\alpha)$ ($j = 1,2$) *are equivalent.*

In a special case the equality in (1.2) holds for arbitrary nonnegative α . Namely, let S be a selfadjoint operator in the Hilbert space H which is bounded from below with a lower bound γ . Then for $a \leq \gamma$ it holds

$$\mathcal{D}((S-aI)^\alpha) = \mathcal{D}(|S|^\alpha) \qquad (\alpha \in [0,+\infty)) . \qquad (1.3)$$

This follows easily using the characterization of the elements of the domains in (1.3) by means of the spectral function of S .

1.2. Let A be a selfadjoint operator in the Krein space $(K,[.,.])$. According to Proposition 1.1 the topology

on $\mathcal{D}(A)$ generated by the graph norm with respect to the opera-
tor JA does not depend on the special choice of J . The set
$\mathcal{D}(A)$ equipped with this topology will be denoted by $\mathcal{D}(A)\hat{\ }$.

For a selfadjoint operator S in the Hilbert space
$(H,(.,.))$ by $\mathcal{D}[S]$ we denote the completion of $\mathcal{D}(S)$ with
respect to the norm $\| (|S|+I)^{1/2}.\|$. The linear space $\mathcal{D}[S]$
with the topology defined by the norm $\| (|S|+I)^{1/2}.\|$ is deno-
ted by $\mathcal{D}[S]\tilde{\ }$. Since the operator $(|S|+I)^{1/2}$ is boundedly
invertible[1] we have

$$\|x\| \leq \| (|S|+I)^{-1/2}\| \, \| (|S|+I)^{1/2} x\| \qquad (x \in \mathcal{D}(S)) ,$$

and this implies $\mathcal{D}[S] \subseteq H$. It holds $\mathcal{D}[S] = \mathcal{D}((|S|+I)^{1/2})$
and, by (1.2), $\mathcal{D}[S] = \mathcal{D}(|S|^{1/2})$.

According to Corollary 1.3 $\mathcal{D}[JA]\tilde{\ }$, defined in the
Hilbert space $(K,(.,.))$, does not depend on the special choice
of J .

REMARK 1.4. Proposition 1.1 and Corollary 1.3 imply
that the following equalities hold true

$$\mathcal{D}[JA]\tilde{\ } = \mathcal{D}[|JA|+I]\tilde{\ } = \mathcal{D}(J(|JA|+I)^{1/2})\hat{\ } ,$$

$$\mathcal{D}(A)\hat{\ } = \mathcal{D}(J(|JA|+I))\hat{\ } = \mathcal{D}[(JA)^2]\tilde{\ } .$$

REMARK 1.5. Let A be a selfadjoint operator in the
Krein space $(K,[.,.])$, such that the inequality
$[Ax,x] \geq \gamma \|x\|^2$ ($x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$) is satisfied for some real con-
stant γ depending on the fundamental symmetry J , i.e. the
sesquilinear form $[A.,.] : \mathcal{D}(A) \times \mathcal{D}(A) \to \mathbb{C}$ is bounded from
below in $(K,(.,.))$. Then the operator JA is selfadjoint
and bounded from below in the Hilbert space $(K,(.,.))$. Now
Remark 1.4 and Corollary 1.3 imply that $\mathcal{D}[JA]$ is the domain
of the closure of the sesquilinear form $[A.,.]$ (see [23,
p. 122]).

_____

[1] An operator A is said to be boundedly invertible if
$0 \in \rho(A)$.

REMARK 1.6. If the selfadjoint operator S is given by an ordinary 2n-th order differential expression with boundary conditions the set $\mathcal{D}[S]$ is determined by the essential boundary conditions (see [14,10°], [4, Theorem 2.4]).

REMARK 1.7. Let S be a boundedly invertible selfadjoint operator in the Hilbert space $(H,(.,.))$. The topology on $\mathcal{D}[S]^\sim$ is given by the norm $\| |S|^{1/2}. \|$. The inequality

$$|(Sx,y)| \leq \| |S|^{1/2} x\| \; \| |S|^{1/2} y\| \qquad (x,y \in \mathcal{D}(S))$$

implies that the scalar product $(S.,.)$ can be extended by continuity onto $\mathcal{D}[S]$. Then $(\mathcal{D}[S],(S.,.))$ is a Krein space. The norm topology on this Krein space is defined by $\| |S|^{1/2}. \|$, hence it coincides with the topology on $\mathcal{D}[S]^\sim$. This Krein space is a Pontrjagin space of index κ (see [19]) if and only if the negative spectrum of S consists of finitely many eigenvalues of total multiplicity κ. In this case the operator S is bounded from below, say $S \geq \gamma$, and Corollary 1.3 yields that the norm $\| (S-aI)^{1/2}. \|$, for $a < \gamma$, generates the norm topology of the Pontrjagin space $(\mathcal{D}[S],(S.,.))$.

1.3. In this subsection we consider a selfadjoint operator A in the Krein space $(K,[.,.])$ such that zero is not an eigenvalue of A and put $P = JA$. Then the following equivalences hold true.

(a) If the operator PJP is densely defined, then it is selfadjoint in the Hilbert space $(K,(.,.))$ if and only if $P^{-1} \pm ai\,PJ$ are boundedly invertible operators for some (and hence for all) $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

(b) The resolvent set $\rho(JP^2)$ is not empty if and only if the operator $P^{-1} + ai\,JP$ is boundedly invertible for some (and hence for all) $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

We mention that the operators $P^{-1} \pm ai\,PJ$ are defined on $P\mathcal{D}(PJP)$. In order to prove (a) we suppose first that PJP is a selfadjoint operator. Then for every $a \in \mathbb{R}$ the operator $I - ai\,PJP$ is boundedly invertible. The operator $P(I - ai\,PJP)^{-1}$ is a composition of the bounded operator

$(I - ai\ PJP)^{-1}$, which maps K onto $\mathcal{D}(PJP) \subsetneqq \mathcal{D}(P)$, and the
closed operator P . Hence, $P(I - ai\ PJP)^{-1}$ is an everywhere
defined closed operator and therefore bounded. It is easy to
see that $P(I - ai\ PJP)^{-1}$ is an inverse of $P^{-1} - ai\ PJ$. Con-
versely, let us suppose that the operators $P^{-1} \pm ai\ PJ$ are
boundedly invertible for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $P^{-1}(P^{-1} \pm ai\ PJ)^{-1}$
are everywhere defined closed operators and hence bounded.
These operators are the inverses of the operators $I \pm ai\ PJP$.
Therefore, the symmetric operator PJP is selfadjoint in the
Hilbert space $(K,(.,.))$.

The proof of (b) uses the same ideas as the proof of (a).

LEMMA 1.8. *Let* A *be a definitizable operator in the*
Krein space K *such that zero is not an eigenvalue of* A *and*
put $P = JA$. *If* $\mathbb{R} \cap \rho(P) \neq \emptyset$, *then for any positive integer*
m *the operator* JP^{2^m} *has a nonempty resolvent set.*

PROOF. We shall prove the lemma for $m = 1$ first. Let
$1/b$ be a real number in $\rho(P)$. The nonreal spectrum of A
consists of a finite number of points. Therefore we can choose
a real $a \neq 0$ such that $-ai,\ ai,\ i/ab \in \rho(A)$.

1. We have chosen the number a such that the operator

$$(A - ai\ I)(A + ai\ I) = A^2 + a^2\ I$$

is boundedly invertible, i.e. $-a^2 \in \rho(A^2)$. Consequently, the
symmetric operator $A^2 + a^2\ I$ is selfadjoint in the Krein
space K . Hence, A^2 is selfadjoint in the Krein space K
and $PJP = JA^2$ is selfadjoint in the Hilbert space $(K,(.,.))$.
According to the equivalence (a) the operator $P^{-1} - ai\ PJ$ is
boundedly invertible. Now we prove that $P^{-1} - ai\ PJ$ is a
densely defined operator. The operator $PJ = JAJ$ is invertible
and definitizable in the Krein space K . Indeed, PJ is a
selfadjoint operator in $(K,[.,.])$, it has the same defini-
tizing polynomial as A and $\rho(JAJ) = \rho(A) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore,
$\mathcal{D}(PJ) \cap \mathcal{R}(PJ) = \mathcal{D}(P^{-1} - ai\ PJ)$ is dense in K and $P^{-1} - ai\ PJ$
is a densely defined and boundedly invertible operator.

2. According to the choice of a and b the operator

$$(JP + \frac{i}{ab} I)(bP^{-1} - I) = bJ - JP + \frac{i}{a} P^{-1} - \frac{i}{ab} I$$

is boundedly invertible and hence closed. Thus, $\frac{i}{a} P^{-1}$ - JP
and also P^{-1} + ai JP   are closed operators. Comparing the
domains we see that

$$P^{-1}(I + ai PJP) \subseteq P^{-1} + ai JP .$$

Consequently

$$R(P^{-1} + ai JP) \supseteq R(P^{-1}(I + ai PJP)) = \mathcal{D}(P) .$$

Hence, we see that P^{-1} + ai JP is a densely defined, closed
operator with a dense range.

3. It holds true

$$P^{-1} + ai JP \subseteq (P^{-1} - ai PJ)^{*} , \qquad\qquad (1.4)$$

where the asterisk * denotes operator adjoints in $(K,(.,.))$.
According to the first step of this proof the operator on the
right-hand side of (1.4) is boundedly invertible and we have

$$(P^{-1} + ai JP)^{-1} \subseteq (P^{-1} - ai PJ)^{*-1} . \qquad\qquad (1.5)$$

According to the second step of this proof the operator on the
left-hand side of (1.5) is densely defined and closed. There-
fore, in (1.5) (and hence in (1.4)) we have equality and
P^{-1} + ai JP is a boundedly invertible operator.

The equivalence (b) yields $\rho(JP^{2}) \neq \emptyset$. Hence the
lemma is proved for the case m = 1 . If m > 1 , we apply the
already proved result m times. The lemma is proved.

In a similar way we show that for a selfadjoint inver-
tible operator P in the Hilbert space $(K,(.,.))$ such that
$\rho(JP^{2}) \neq \emptyset$ the operator PJP is selfadjoint in $(K,(.,.))$.
This result improves Lemma 3.1 from [18] where only the essen-
tial selfadjointness of the operator PJP is proved.

In order to prove the stated result we observe that
$P^{-1} \pm$ ai PJ are closed operators with dense ranges. Indeed,
these operators are closed as the operators

$$(P^{-1} + I)(ai\ PJ \pm I) = ai\ J + ai\ PJ \pm P^{-1} \pm I$$

are closed, and the inclusions

$$P^{-1} \pm ai\ PJ \supseteq P^{-1}(I \pm ai\ P^2 J)$$

imply that the operators $P^{-1} \pm$ ai PJ have dense ranges.
It holds true

$$P^{-1} \pm ai\ PJ \subseteq (P^{-1} \mp ai\ JP)^* \ . \tag{1.6}$$

According to the equivalence (b) the operators on the right-hand
side of (1.6) are boundedly invertible and we have

$$(P^{-1} \pm ai\ PJ)^{-1} \subseteq (P^{-1} \mp ai\ JP)^{*-1} \ . \tag{1.7}$$

According to the preceding observation the operators on the
left-hand side of (1.7) are densely defined and closed. There-
fore, in (1.7) (and hence in (1.6)) we have equality and
$P^{-1} \pm$ ai PJ are boundedly invertible operators. The equiva-
lence (a) yields that PJP is a selfadjoint operator in
$(K,(.,.))$.

2. POSITIVE OPERATORS

2.1. In this section we consider a positive, boundedly
invertible operator A in the Krein space $(K,[.,.])$. Then
we have

$$[Ax,x] = (JAx,x) \geq \|\,(JA)^{-1}\|^{-1}\|x\|^2 = \|A^{-1}\|\ \|x\|^2 \quad (\ x \in \mathcal{D}(A)\ ),$$

and

$$(x,y)_A := [Ax,y] \qquad (\ x,y \in \mathcal{D}(A)\ )$$

defines a positive definite scalar product on $\mathcal{D}(A)$. The corre-
sponding norm $\| (JA)^{1/2} \cdot \|$ is denoted by $\| \cdot \|_A$. As in
Remark 1.7 the scalar product $(.,.)_A$ can be extended by con-
tinuity onto $\mathcal{D}[JA]$ and $(\mathcal{D}[JA],(.,.)_A)$ is a Hilbert space.

REMARK 2.1. The operator $A^{-1}|_{\mathcal{D}[JA]}$ maps $\mathcal{D}[JA]$
into $\mathcal{D}(A) \subsetneq \mathcal{D}[JA]$ and it is a selfadjoint, bounded operator in
the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{D}[JA],(.,.)_A)$. Indeed, the selfadjointness
follows from the relation

$$(A^{-1}x,y)_A = [AA^{-1}x,y] = [A^{-1}Ax,y]$$

$$= [Ax,A^{-1}y] = (x,A^{-1}y)_A \qquad (x,y \in \mathcal{D}(A)) \ ,$$

and the boundedness from the relation

$$|(A^{-1}x,x)_A| = |[x,x]| \leq (x,x)$$

$$\leq \|A^{-1}\| \ [Ax,x] = \|A^{-1}\| \ (x,x)_A \qquad (x \in \mathcal{D}(A)) \ .$$

The next lemma is a simple consequence of Krein-Reid-
Lax theorem about symmetrizable operators (see [15], [21], [20]).

LEMMA 2.2. *Let* S *and* K *be bounded operators in the*
Hilbert space $(H,(.,.))$ *such that* S *and* SK *are selfad-*
joint. Then we have

$$|(SKx,x)| \leq \|K\| \ (|S|x,x) \qquad (x \in H) \ .$$

PROOF. The operator $\text{sgn}(S)K$ is bounded in H and
$\| \text{sgn}(S)K\| \leq \|K\|$. The operator $|S|$ is positive and
$|S|\text{sgn}(S)K = SK$ is a selfadjoint operator in H . Therefore
all the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 in [21] are satisfied. Hence

$$|(SKx,x)| = |(|S|\text{sgn}(S)Kx,x)|$$

$$\leq \|K\| \ (|S|x,x) \qquad (x \in H) \ .$$

The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let* B *be a positive, boundedly invertible*
operator in the Krein space $(K,[.,.])$. *Assume that there*

*exists a positive, boundedly invertible operator W in the Krein
space (K,[.,.]) such that*

$$\mathcal{D}[JB] \subsetneqq \mathcal{D}(W) \ , \ W\mathcal{D}[JB] \subsetneqq \mathcal{D}[JB]$$

*and such that $W|_{\mathcal{D}[JB]}$ is a bounded operator in $\mathcal{D}[JB]^{\sim}$.
Then, on $\mathcal{D}[JB]$ the norm generated by the positive definite
scalar product*

$$<x,y>_B := (|B^{-1}|_{\mathcal{D}[JB]}|x,y)_B \qquad (\ x,y \in \mathcal{D}[JB] \ ) \qquad (2.1)$$

*is equivalent to the Hilbert space norm $\|\cdot\|$ of K . The
operator W is bounded in K .*

PROOF. The operator $B^{-1}|_{\mathcal{D}[JB]}$ is selfadjoint and
bounded in the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{D}[JB],(.,.)_B)$; $|B^{-1}|_{\mathcal{D}[JB]}|$
denotes its absolute value in this Hilbert space. For
$x \in \mathcal{D}[JB]$ we have

$$\|x\|^2 = (x,x) \leq \|W^{-1}\| \ [Wx,x] = \|W^{-1}\| \ (B^{-1}Wx,x)_B$$

$$\leq \|W^{-1}\| \ \| W|_{\mathcal{D}[JB]}\| \ (|B^{-1}|_{\mathcal{D}[JB]}|x,x)_B$$

$$= \|W^{-1}\| \ \| W|_{\mathcal{D}[JB]}\| \ <x,x>_B \ . \qquad (2.2)$$

The last inequality in (2.2) is a consequence of Lemma 2.2
applied to the bounded operators $B^{-1}|_{\mathcal{D}[JB]}$ and $W|_{\mathcal{D}[JB]}$ in
the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{D}[JB],(.,.)_B)$. Here we use the fact that
the operator $(B^{-1}|_{\mathcal{D}[JB]})(W|_{\mathcal{D}[JB]})$ is positive in
$(\mathcal{D}[JB],(.,.)_B)$ (see the first line in (2.2)). Further, for
$x \in \mathcal{D}[JB]$ we have

$$<x,x>_B = \sup \ \{ \ |<x,y>_B|^2 : \ <y,y>_B \leq 1\}$$

$$= \sup \ \{ \ |(|B^{-1}|_{\mathcal{D}[JB]}|x,y)_B|^2 : \ <y,y>_B \leq 1\}$$

$$= \sup \ \{ \ |(B^{-1}x,y)_B|^2 : \ <y,y>_B \leq 1\}$$

$$= \sup \ \{ \ |[x,y]|^2 : \ <y,y>_B \leq 1\}$$

$$\leq \sup \{ |[x,y]|^2 : \|y\|^2 \leq \|W^{-1}\| \ \|W|_{\mathcal{D}[JB]}\| \}$$

$$= \|W^{-1}\| \ \|W|_{\mathcal{D}[JB]}\| \ \|x\|^2 . \tag{2.3}$$

By (2.2) and (2.3) the scalar products $<.,.>_B$ and $(.,.)$ generate equivalent norms on $\mathcal{D}[JB]$. The operator JB is positive in the Hilbert space $(K,(.,.))$ and from (2.2) and (2.3) it follows that JW is a bounded operator in $(K,(.,.))$. Here we have used the fact that $\mathcal{D}(B)$ is a dense set in K. Then the operator W is also bounded. This completes the proof of the lemma.

We note that in [2] and [12], in order to prove half-range completeness, the equivalence of the norms in Lemma 2.4 was shown by other methods for the special case of Sturm-Liouville operators with an indefinite weight function.

In the following, if J is a fundamental symmetry we put

$$P_{\pm} := \frac{1}{2} (I \pm J) , \quad K_{\pm} := P_{\pm}K .$$

The operator A in the Krein space K is called *fundamentally reducible* if there exists a fundamental symmetry J such that for every $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ we have $P_+ x$, $P_- x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ and $AP_{\pm} x \in K_{\pm}$.

The following characterization of fundamental reducibility is contained in [6].

LEMMA 2.4. *The following statements are equivalent.*

(i)   *The operator A is fundamentally reducible.*

(ii)   *There exists a fundamental symmetry J such that $AP_+ \supseteq P_+ A$ and $AP_- \supseteq P_- A$ hold.*

(iii)   *There exists a fundamental symmetry J such that $AJ = JA$ holds.*

The operator A in the Hilbert space $(H,(.,.))$ is said to be *similar to a selfadjoint operator* in $(H,(.,.))$ if there exists a scalar product $(.,.)'$ on H such that $(.,.)'$ and $(.,.)$ generate on H equivalent norms and A is self-adjoint in the Hilbert space $(H,(.,.)')$. The following

theorem is the main result of this section.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let* A *be a positive, boundedly inver-*
tible operator in the Krein space $(K,[.,.])$. *The following*
statements are equivalent.

(i)   A *is fundamentally reducible.*

(ii)   *In the Krein space* $(K,[.,.])$ *there exists a*
positive, boundedly invertible operator W *such that*

$$\mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(W) , \quad W\mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A) , \hspace{3cm} (2.4)$$

and $W|_{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ *is a bounded operator in* $\mathcal{D}(A)\hat{}$.

(iii)   *In the Krein space* $(K,[.,.])$ *there exists a*
positive, bounded and boundedly invertible operator W *such*
that (2.4) *holds.*

(iv)   *In the Krein space* $(K,[.,.])$ *there exists a*
positive, boundedly invertible operator W *such that*

$$\mathcal{D}[JA] \subseteq \mathcal{D}(W) , \quad W\mathcal{D}[JA] \subseteq \mathcal{D}[JA] ,$$

and $W|_{\mathcal{D}[JA]}$ *is a bounded operator in* $\mathcal{D}[JA]^{\sim}$.

(v)   *The positive definite scalar products* $<.,.>_A$
and $(.,.)$ *generate equivalent norms on* $\mathcal{D}[JA]$.

(vi)   A *is similar to a selfadjoint operator in the*
Hilbert space $(K,(.,.))$.

(vii)   *Infinity is not a singular critical point of* A .

PROOF. (i) ⇒ (ii): Let A be fundamentally reducible.
Then by Lemma 2.4 there exists a fundamental symmetry J_0 which
commutes with A , $AJ_0 = J_0A$. It follows that $J_0\mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$,
and J_0 is bounded with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{AJ_0A}$. Accor-
ding to Proposition 1.1 the norm $\|\cdot\|_{AJ_0A}$ generates on $\mathcal{D}(A)$
the topology of $\mathcal{D}(A)\hat{}$. Hence, $J_0|_{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ is a bounded opera-
tor in $\mathcal{D}(A)\hat{}$ and we can take $W = J_0$ in (ii).

(ii) ⇒ (iii): In order to prove this implication
we apply Lemma 2.3 to the operators B := AJA and W from
(ii). The operator AJA is positive and boundedly invertible

in the Krein space K . According to Remark 1.4 we have
$\mathcal{D}[(JA)^2] = \mathcal{D}[J(AJA)] = \mathcal{D}(A)$. Hence all the assumptions of
Lemma 2.3 are fulfilled and according to this lemma the operator
W is bounded in K .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): The operator WA^{-1} is bounded in K .
Since $W\mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$, the operator AWA^{-1} is everywhere defined
and closed, and therefore bounded in K . The operator $WAWA^{-1}$
is also bounded in K , i.e.

$$\| WAWA^{-1}x \| \leq c_3 \|x\| \qquad (x \in K)$$

with some $c_3 > 0$. This inequality is equivalent to

$$\| WAWx \| \leq c_3 \|Ax\| \qquad (x \in \mathcal{D}(A)) ,$$

and also to

$$\| JWAWx \| \leq c_3 \|JAx\| \qquad (x \in \mathcal{D}(A)) .$$

The operator $c_3 JA$ is positive and selfadjoint in $(K,(.,.))$.
The operator $JWAW$ is positive and boundedly invertible, and
hence selfadjoint in $(K,(.,.))$. Furthermore,
$\mathcal{D}(JWAW) \supseteq \mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(c_3 JA)$. By Theorem 1.2 it follows that

$$(JWAWx,x) \leq c_3 (JAx,x) \qquad (x \in \mathcal{D}(A)) ,$$

or, equivalently,

$$[AWx,Wx] \leq c_3 [Ax,x] \qquad (x \in \mathcal{D}(A)) .$$

This shows that the operator $W|_{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ is bounded with respect
to the norm $\| \cdot \|_A$ on $\mathcal{D}(A)$. It remains to show that
$W\mathcal{D}[JA] \subseteq \mathcal{D}[JA]$. Let (x_n) be a sequence of elements of $\mathcal{D}(A)$
and $x_n \to x$ in $\| \cdot \|_A$. Then $x \in \mathcal{D}[JA]$, $x_n \to x$ in $\| \cdot \|$
and, since W is bounded, $Wx_n \to Wx$ in $\| \cdot \|$. Since $W|_{\mathcal{D}(A)}$
is bounded with respect to $\| \cdot \|_A$ on $\mathcal{D}(A)$, the sequence
(Wx_n) is a $\| \cdot \|_A$-Cauchy sequence and consequently convergent
in $(\mathcal{D}[JA], \| \cdot \|_A)$, i.e. $Wx_n \to y_0$ in $\| \cdot \|_A$, $y_0 \in \mathcal{D}[JA]$.

It follows $Wx_n \to y_0$ in $\|\cdot\|$. Hence $y_0 = Wx \in \mathcal{D}[JA]$. This proves $W\mathcal{D}[JA] \subseteq \mathcal{D}[JA]$.

(iv) ⇒ (v): This implication is a consequence of Lemma 2.3.

(v) ⇒ (vi): Assume that the scalar products $<.,.>_A$ and $(.,.)$ generate equivalent norms on $\mathcal{D}[JA]$. Since $\mathcal{D}[JA]$ is dense in the Hilbert space $(K,(.,.))$ the scalar product $<.,.>_A$ can be extended onto K by continuity. The extended scalar product will also be denoted by $<.,.>_A$. In Remark 2.1 it was shown that the operator $A^{-1}|_{\mathcal{D}[JA]}$ is self-adjoint in the Hilbert space $(\mathcal{D}[JA],(.,.)_A)$. In the same way one proves that the operator A^{-1} is selfadjoint in the Hilbert space $(K,<.,.>_A)$. The scalar products $<.,.>_A$ and $(.,.)$ generate equivalent norms on K and hence A^{-1} is similar to a selfadjoint operator in the Hilbert space $(K,(.,.))$. Consequently, A is also similar to a selfadjoint operator in $(K,(.,.))$ and (vi) is proved.

The implication (vi) ⇒ (vii) is obvious. The equivalence (i) ⇔ (vii) is well-known (see [1]). The theorem is proved.

In [12] one can find an example of an operator A for which the norms in (v) are not equivalent. According to Theorem 2.5 this means $\infty \in c_s(A)$. Earlier examples of positive operators in Krein spaces for which $\infty \in c_s(A)$ were given in [17], [7] and [1].

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let* A *and* B *be positive, boundedly invertible operators in the Krein space* K *and suppose that* $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(B)$. *Then* $\infty \notin c_s(A)$ *if and only if* $\infty \notin c_s(B)$.

PROOF. This assertion is an easy consequence of the equivalence (iii) ⇔ (vii) in Theorem 2.5 and the assumption $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(B)$.

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let* A *be as in Theorem 2.5. Then* A *is fundamentally reducible if and only if there exists a fundamental symmetry* J *such that the inclusion* $P_+\mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$ *holds.*

PROOF. The operator $J = P_+ - P_-$ is positive, bounded and boundedly invertible in the Krein space K and we have $J\mathcal{D}(A) \subsetneqq \mathcal{D}(A)$. Thus the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) from Theorem 2.5 implies the "if" part of the corollary. The converse statement is obvious.

2.2. LEMMA 2.8. *Let* A *be a positive, boundedly invertible operator in the Krein space* K *, and let* m *be an integer. Put* $P = JA$. *Then* $\infty \notin c_s(A)$ *if and only if* $\infty \notin c_s(JP^{2^m})$.

PROOF. Suppose first that $m = 1$. Then the operator $JP^2 = AJA$ is positive and boundedly invertible in the Krein space K . In Remark 1.4 we have noted that $\mathcal{D}(A)\widehat{} = \mathcal{D}[J(AJA)]\widetilde{}$. Therefore the operator W in Theorem 2.5 (ii) with respect to the operator A has the same properties as W in Theorem 2.5 (iv) with respect to the operator AJA . Hence it follows that $\infty \notin c_s(A)$ if and only if $\infty \notin c_s(JP^2)$. Further we can suppose that $m \neq 0$. Then the lemma follows if we apply the already proved part of Lemma 2.8 m times. In the case $m > 0$ we start with the operator A , and in the case $m < 0$ we start with JP^{2^m} .

THEOREM 2.9. *Let* A *be a positive, boundedly invertible operator in the Krein space* K *and let* $\mu \in (0,+\infty)$. *Put* $P = JA$. *Then* $\infty \notin c_s(A)$ *if and only if* $\infty \notin c_s(JP^\mu)$.

PROOF. Suppose that $\infty \notin c_s(A)$. The implication (vii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) in Theorem 2.5 yields the existence of a positive, boundedly invertible operator W such that

$$\mathcal{D}(A) \subsetneqq \mathcal{D}(W) \ , \ W\mathcal{D}(A) \subsetneqq \mathcal{D}(A)$$

and the operator $W|_{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ is bounded with respect to the norm $\| (P^2 + I)^{1/2} \cdot \|$ on $\mathcal{D}(A)$. Consequently, the operator $W|_{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ is bounded with respect to the norm $\|P \cdot \|$ on $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(P)$. In the proof of the implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) in Theorem 2.5 it was shown that W is a bounded operator in K . By Theorem 1.2 it follows that

$$W\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{P}^{\alpha}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{P}^{\alpha}) \qquad (\ 0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1 \ ) \ .$$

Hence, we have proved that in the Krein space K there exists a positive, bounded and boundedly invertible operator W such that $W\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{JP}^{\alpha}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{JP}^{\alpha})$ ($0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1$) . Since the operator JP^{μ} ($\mu \in (0,+\infty)$) is positive and boundedly invertible in the Krein space K the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (vii) in Theorem 2.5 yields $\infty \notin c_{s}(\mathrm{JP}^{\alpha})$. Thus we have proved the "only if" part of the theorem for $\mu \in (0,1]$. For $\mu > 1$ there exists a positive integer m such that $\mu/2^{m} < 1$. The operator $\mathrm{JP}^{2^{m}}$ is positive, boundedly invertible and Lemma 2.8 implies $\infty \notin c_{s}(\mathrm{JP}^{2^{m}})$. Since $\mu/2^{m} < 1$, we can apply the part of Theorem 2.9 which was proved already to the operator $\mathrm{JP}^{2^{m}}$ and we get $\infty \notin c_{s}(\mathrm{JP}^{\mu})$ ($\mu \in (1,+\infty)$) . The "only if" part of the theorem is proved. In order to prove the "if" part of the theorem we apply the already proved "only if" part of the theorem to the operator JP^{μ} ($\mu \in (0,+\infty)$) and $1/\mu \in (0,+\infty)$. It follows that $\infty \notin c_{s}(\mathrm{JP}^{\mu})$ implies $\infty \notin c_{s}(\mathrm{JP})$. The theorem is proved.

3. DEFINITIZABLE OPERATORS

3.1. In this section we generalize the equivalence of the statements (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vii) in Theorem 2.5 to definitizable operators in the Krein space K and give some applications of this result.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let* A *be a definitizable operator in the Krein space* $(K,[.,.])$. *Then* $\infty \notin c_{s}(A)$ *if and only if* $\infty \notin c_{s}(J(|JA| + I))$.

PROOF. Denote the spectral function of A by E . Let Δ_{∞} be such that $\mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \Delta_{\infty}$ is a bounded interval containing all the finite critical points of A and zero in its interior and put $K_{\infty} := E(\Delta_{\infty})K$. The restriction $A|_{K_{\infty}}$ is a boundedly

invertible, positive operator in the Krein space $(K_\infty, [.,.])$.
Suppose $\infty \notin c_s(A)$ and let J_0 be a fundamental symmetry on
K commuting with $E(\Delta_\infty)$. We put $(x,y)_0 := [J_0 x, y]$ $(x,y \in K)$
and $P_0 := J_0 A$. Then $J_0|_{K_\infty}$ is a fundamental symmetry on K_∞
and P_0 commutes with $E(\Delta_\infty)$. The operator $P_0|_{K_\infty}$ is positive
and boundedly invertible in the Hilbert space $(K_\infty, (.,.)_0)$ and
$P_0|_{K_\infty} = |P_0||_{K_\infty}$. Now the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $\infty \notin c_s(A)$, (b) $\infty \notin c_s(A|_{K_\infty})$, (c) $\infty \notin c_s(J_0 P_0|_{K_\infty})$,
(d) $\infty \notin c_s(J_0 |P_0||_{K_\infty})$, (e) $\infty \notin c_s(J_0(|P_0| + I)|_{K_\infty})$,
(f) $\infty \notin c_s(J(|P_0| + I))$, (g) $\infty \notin c_s(J(|P| + I))$. The
equivalences (d) ↔ (e) and (f) ↔ (g) are consequences of
Corollary 2.6, the other equivalences are obvious. This com-
pletes the proof of the lemma.

The following theorem is a consequence of Lemma 3.1,
Remark 1.4 and Theorem 2.5.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let* A *be a definitizable operator in the
Krein space* $\{K, [.,.]\}$. *The following statements are equiva-
lent.*

(i)   *Infinity is not a singular critical point of* A .

(ii)   *In the Krein space* $(K, [.,.])$ *there exists a
positive, boundedly invertible operator* W *such that*

$$\mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(W) , \quad W\mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A) , \tag{3.1}$$

and $W|_{\mathcal{D}(A)}$ *is a bounded operator in* $\mathcal{D}(A)^\wedge$.

(iii) *In the Krein space* $(K, [.,.])$ *there exists a
positive, bounded and boundedly invertible operator* W *such
that* (3.1) *holds.*

(iv)   *In the Krein space* $(K, [.,.])$ *there exists a
positive, boundedly invertible operator* W *such that*

$$\mathcal{D}[JA] \subseteq \mathcal{D}(W) , \quad W\mathcal{D}[JA] \subseteq \mathcal{D}[JA] ,$$

and $W|_{\mathcal{D}[JA]}$ *is a bounded operator in* $\mathcal{D}[JA]^\sim$.

COROLLARY 3.3.   *Let*   A   *and*   B   *be definitizable opera-*
tors in the Krein space   K   *and suppose that* $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(B)$.
Then $\infty \notin c_s(A)$ *if and only if* $\infty \notin c_s(B)$.

PROOF.   This assertion is an easy consequence of the
equivalence (iii) ↔ (i) in Theorem 3.2 and the assumption
$\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(B)$.

REMARK 3.4.   The preceding corollary yields the following
perturbation results.   Let   A   and   B   be operators in the Krein
space   K   such that $\mathcal{D}(B) \supseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$ ($\mathcal{D}(B) \supseteq \mathcal{R}(A)$, respectively)
and such that the operators   A   and   A + B   (BA)   are defi-
nitizable.   Then $\infty \notin c_s(A)$ if and only if $\infty \notin c_s(A + B)$
($\infty \notin c_s(BA)$, respectively).

PROPOSITION 3.5.   *Let*   A   *be a definitizable operator in*
the Krein space   K .   *The following statements are equivalent.*

(i)   *Infinity is not a singular critical point of*   A .

(ii)   *In the Krein space*   K   *there exists a positive,*
bounded and boundedly invertible operator   W   *such that*

$$W\mathcal{D}[JA] \subsetneqq \mathcal{D}[JA] .$$

PROOF.   Remark 1.4 implies $\mathcal{D}[JA] = \mathcal{D}(J(|JA| + I)^{1/2})$.
Consequently, the statement (ii) of this proposition coincides
with the statement (iii) in Theorem 2.5 applied to the positive,
boundedly invertible operator $J(|JA| + I)^{1/2}$.   According to
the equivalence (iii) ↔ (vii) in Theorem 2.5, in order to prove
the proposition, it is sufficient to show that
$\infty \notin c_s(J(|JA| + I)^{1/2})$ if and only if $\infty \notin c_s(A)$.   In order to
prove this we note that Lemma 2.8 yields $\infty \notin c_s(J(|JA| + I)^{1/2})$
if and only if $\infty \notin c_s(J(|JA| + I))$ and that Lemma 3.1 implies
$\infty \notin c_s(J(|JA| + I))$ if and only if $\infty \notin c_s(A)$.   The proposi-
tion is proved.

COROLLARY 3.6.   *Let*   A   *and*   B   *be definitizable opera-*
tors in the Krein space   K .   *Suppose that* $\mathcal{D}[JA] = \mathcal{D}[JA]$.
Then $\infty \notin c_s(A)$ *if and only if* $\infty \notin c_s(B)$.

PROOF.   This assertion is an easy consequence of the

equivalence in Proposition 3.5 and the assumption $\mathcal{D}[JA] = \mathcal{D}[JB]$.

REMARK 3.7. Let A be a definitizable operator in the Krein space K . We shall describe a situation in which the assumption (ii) of Proposition 3.5 is fulfilled. Suppose that there exist a fundamental symmetry J and operators $X_{\pm}$, $Y_{\pm}$ defined on K with the following properties:

(a) $X_{\pm}\mathcal{D}[JA] \subseteq \mathcal{D}[JA]$, $Y_{\pm}\mathcal{D}[JA] \subseteq \mathcal{D}[JA]$,

(b) $X_{\pm}$ and $Y_{\pm}$ are bounded in K ,

(c) $X_{\pm}|_{K_{\pm}} = I_{\pm}$, $X_{\pm}(K_{\mp}) \subseteq K_{\mp}$,

(d) $X_{\pm} = Y_{\pm}^{*}J$.

Here I ($I_{\pm}$, respectively) denotes the identity operator on K ($K_{\pm}$, respectively). Then the operator $W := Y_{+}X_{+} + Y_{-}X_{-}$ has all the properties of the operator W in Proposition 3.5(ii). In order to prove this we only have to show that W is boundedly invertible and positive in the Krein space K . This follows from the relation

$$(x,x) = (x_{+},x_{+}) + (x_{-},x_{-}) = (X_{+}x_{+},X_{+}x_{+}) + (X_{-}x_{-},X_{-}x_{-})$$

$$\leq (X_{+}x,Y_{+}^{*}Jx) + (X_{-}x,Y_{-}^{*}Jx)$$

$$= (JY_{+}X_{+}x,x) + (JY_{-}X_{-}x,x) = (J(Y_{+}X_{+} + Y_{-}X_{-})x,x)$$

$$= (JWx,x) = [Wx,x] \quad (x \in K , x_{\pm} = P_{\pm}x) .$$

Operators $X_{\pm}$, $Y_{\pm}$ with the above properties are constructed in [2] for a class of Sturm-Liouville operators with an indefinite weight function (see also [5]).

REMARK 3.8. Let S be a symmetric operator in the Hilbert space $(K,(.,.))$ which is bounded from below with a lower bound γ . Then the equality

$$\|x\|_{S}^{2} := (1 - \gamma) \|x\|^{2} + (Sx,x) \qquad (x \in \mathcal{D}(S))$$

defines a norm on $\mathcal{D}(S)$ (see [23, p. 122]). Denote by S_{F} the Friedrichs extension of S and suppose that JS_{F} is a definitizable operator in the Krein space $(K,[.,.])$. Assume that

there exists a positive, boundedly invertible operator W such
that

$$\mathcal{D}(S) \subsetneqq \mathcal{D}(W) \ , \ W\mathcal{D}(S) \subsetneqq \mathcal{D}(S)$$

and such that $W|_{\mathcal{D}(S)}$ is a bounded operator with respect to the
norm $\| \cdot \|_s$. Then $\infty \notin c_s(JS_F)$.

       Indeed, the completion of $\mathcal{D}(S)$ with respect to the
norm $\| \cdot \|_s$ is evidently contained in $\mathcal{D}(W)$ and invariant
under W . This completion coincides with $\mathcal{D}[S_F]$ ([14,
Theorem 10]). The norm $\| \cdot \|_s$ can be extended onto $\mathcal{D}[S_F]$ and
for this extended norm $\| \cdot \|_s$ we have

$$\|x\|_s = \| (S_F + (1 - \gamma)I)^{1/2}x\| \qquad (x \in \mathcal{D}[S_F]) \ , \qquad (3.2)$$

and $W|_{\mathcal{D}[S_F]}$ is bounded with respect to this norm. Proposition
1.1 implies that the norm (3.2) generates the topology of
$\mathcal{D}[S_F]^\sim$. Hence, Theorem 3.2 yields $\infty \notin c_s(JS_F)$.

       Denote by S_K the Krein extension of S (that is the
soft extension in the terminology of [14]). Suppose that JS_K
is a definitizable operator in the Krein space $(K,[.,.])$ and
$\gamma > 0$. If the operator W , in addition to the previous
properties, is bounded and satisfies

$$W\mathcal{R}(JS) \subsetneqq \mathcal{R}(JS) \ ,$$

then $\infty \notin c_s(JS_K)$.

       In order to prove this observe that by Theorem 14 in
[14] we have

$$\mathcal{D}[S_K] = \mathcal{D}[S_F] + N_0 \ ,$$

where N_0 is a kernel of the operator S* . Furthermore N_0
is invariant under W . Indeed, for every $x \in \mathcal{D}(S)$ there
exists $x' \in \mathcal{D}(S)$ such that $WJSx = JSx'$. Hence, for $\varphi \in N_0$
we have

$$(S*W\varphi,x) = (W\varphi,Sx) = [W\varphi,JSx] = [\varphi,WJSx]$$
$$= [\varphi,JSx'] = (\varphi,Sx') = (S*\varphi,x') = 0 \ .$$

Since $x \in \mathcal{D}(S)$ was arbitrary, and $\mathcal{D}(S)$ is dense in K we conclude that $W\varphi \in N_0$. We have seen that $\mathcal{D}[S_F]$ is also invariant under W . Hence, $\mathcal{D}[S_K]$ is invariant under the positive, bounded and boundedly invertible operator W and Proposition 3.5 yields $\infty \notin c_s(JS_K)$.

3.2.   The following theorem is an extension of Theorem 2.9 for an operator with a nonempty resolvent set which is positive and selfadjoint in the Krein space.

   THEOREM 3.9. *Let* A *be a positive, selfadjoint operator in the Krein space* K *such that* $\rho(A) \neq 0$, *and put* $P = JA$. *If* $\mu \in (0,+\infty)$ *is such that* $\rho(JP^{\mu}) \neq \emptyset$ *then* $\infty \notin c_s(A)$ *if and only if* $\infty \notin c_s(JP^{\mu})$.

   PROOF. Suppose $\rho(JP^{\mu}) \neq \emptyset$ ($\mu \in (0,+\infty)$) . In this case the operator JP^{μ} is definitizable. The equality (1.3) implies that $\mathcal{D}((P + I)^{\mu}) = \mathcal{D}(P^{\mu})$ and, according to Corollary 3.3, $\infty \notin c_s(JP^{\mu})$ if and only if $\infty \notin c_s(J(P + I)^{\mu})$. Theorem 2.9 yields $\infty \notin c_s(J(P + I)^{\mu})$ if and only if $\infty \notin c_s(J(P + I))$. Since A is a definitizable operator Lemma 3.1 implies that $\infty \notin c_s(J(P + I))$ if and only if $\infty \notin c_s(A)$. These equivalences prove the theorem.

   According to Lemma 1.8 the condition $\rho(JP^{\mu}) \neq \emptyset$ in Theorem 3.9 is satisfied for $\mu = 2^m$, m a positive integer.

   PROPOSITION 3.10. *Let* A *be a definitizable operator in the Krein space* K *and put* $P = JA$. *Suppose that for some* $m \in \{n,1/(2n+1) : n = 1,2,\ldots\}$ *the operator* JP^m *is definitizable. Then* $\infty \notin c_s(A)$ *if and only if* $\infty \notin c_s(JP^m)$.

   PROOF. Lemma 3.1 implies that $\infty \notin c_s(A)$ if and only if $\infty \notin c_s(J(|P| + I))$. Theorem 2.9 yields $\infty \notin c_s(J(|P| + I))$ if and only if $\infty \notin c_s(J(|P| + I)^m)$. The equality (1.3) implies the first of the following equalities

$$\mathcal{D}((|P| + I)^m) = \mathcal{D}(|P|^m) = \mathcal{D}(|P^m|) = \mathcal{D}(P^m) = \mathcal{D}(JP^m) .$$

By assumption the operator JP^m is definitizable, and Corollary 3.3 yields $\infty \notin c_s(J(|P| + I)^m)$ if and only if $\infty \notin c_s(JP^m)$. This sequence of equivalences proves the proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.11. *Let* A *be a positive, selfadjoint operator in the Krein space* K *such that* $\rho(A) \neq \emptyset$, $0 \notin \sigma_p(A)$, *and put* $P = JA$. *Suppose that* $\rho(JP^\mu) \neq \emptyset$ *for some* $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \smallsetminus \{0\}$. *Then* A *is fundamentally reducible if and only if* JP^μ *is fundamentally reducible.*

PROOF. The operators A , A^{-1} , JP^μ and $JP^{-\mu}$ are positive and selfadjoint in the Krein space K and these operators have nonempty resolvent sets. Therefore, only 0 and ∞ can be critical points of these operators. For $\mu > 0$ Theorem 3.9 implies that $\infty \notin c_s(A)$ if and only if $\infty \notin c_s(JP^\mu)$. Further, $0 \notin c_s(A)$ if and only if $\infty \notin c_s(A^{-1})$. Because of $A^{-1} = J(JP^{-1}J)$ and $(JP^{-1}J)^\mu = JP^{-\mu}J$, according to Theorem 3.9, we have $\infty \notin c_s(A^{-1})$ if and only if $\infty \notin c_s(P^{-\mu}J)$. Since $(P^{-\mu}J)^{-1} = JP^\mu$, we conclude that $0 \notin c_s(A)$ if and only if $0 \notin c_s(JP^\mu)$. Hence, for $\mu > 0$, we have proved that $c_s(A) = \emptyset$ if and only if $c_s(JP^\mu) = \emptyset$. For $\mu < 0$ the last equivalence follows from the equivalence: $c_s(A) = \emptyset \leftrightarrow c_s(A^{-1}) = \emptyset$. For a positive, selfadjoint operator B in the Krein space K such that $\rho(B) \neq \emptyset$, the fundamental reducibility is equivalent to $c_s(B) = \emptyset$ (see [10]). The proposition is proved.

4. ADDITIVE PERTURBATIONS

In this section we show that the regularity of the critical point ∞ is "stable" under certain "additive" perturbations. This question was also considered in [22], [10], [11]. Here, however, we suppose that the perturbed operator has a definitizable extension. This allows us to weaken the conditions on the perturbing operator slightly.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let* A *be a definitizable, and let* B *be a symmetric operator in the Krein space* $(K,[.,.])$ *satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) $\mathcal{D}(B) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$ *and* $\mathcal{D}(B)$ *is a core of* $|JA|^{1/2}$;

(2) $[Ax,x] \geq \gamma \|x\|^2$ $(x \in \mathcal{D}(A))$ *for some* $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ *(that is* JA *is bounded from below);*

(3) *There exist* $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \geq 0$, $\beta_1 < 1$ *such that*

$$- \alpha_1 \|x\|^2 - \beta_1 (|JA|x,x) \leq [Bx,x]$$
$$\leq \alpha_2 \|x\|^2 + \beta_2 (|JA|x,x) \qquad (x \in \mathcal{D}(B)) . \qquad (4.1)$$

Then the operator $J(A + B)$ *is bounded from below. If its Friedrichs extension* S *has the property that* $T = JS$ *is definitizable, then* $\infty \notin c_s(A)$ *if and only if* $\infty \notin c_s(T)$.

PROOF. It is easy to see that for $\alpha \leq \gamma$, $\alpha \leq 0$ the inequality

$$(|JA|x,x) \leq (JAx,x) - 2\alpha \|x\|^2 \qquad (x \in \mathcal{D}(A)) \qquad (4.2)$$

holds. The left inequality in (4.1) and (4.2) imply for $x \in \mathcal{D}(B)$ and $\alpha \leq \gamma$, $\alpha \leq 0$:

$$(J(A + B)x,x) \geq (JAx,x) - \beta_1 (|JA|x,x) - \alpha_1 \|x\|^2$$
$$\geq (JAx,x) - \beta_1 (JAx,x) + 2\beta_1 \alpha \|x\|^2 - \alpha_1 \|x\|^2$$
$$\geq ((1 - \beta_1)\gamma + 2\beta_1\alpha - \alpha_1) \|x\|^2 . \qquad (4.3)$$

Hence, the operator $J(A + B)$ is bounded from below in $(K,(.,.))$. Denote its lower bound by δ . Subtracting $\beta \|x\|^2$, $\beta < \delta$, from the first and the third term in (4.3), for $x \in \mathcal{D}(B)$, we get

$$(J(A + B)x,x) - \beta \|x\|^2$$
$$\geq (1 - \beta_1)(JAx,x) + (2\beta_1\alpha - \alpha_1 - \beta) \|x\|^2 . \qquad (4.4)$$

Further, (4.4) together with (4.2) and $1 - \beta_1 > 0$, for $\beta < 2\alpha - \alpha_1$ and $x \in \mathcal{D}(B)$ implies that

$$(J(A + B)x,x) - \beta \|x\|^2$$

$$\geq (1 - \beta_1)(|JA|x,x) + (2\alpha - \alpha_1 - \beta) \|x\|^2$$

$$= (1 - \beta_1) \left\| \left(|JA| + \frac{2\alpha - \alpha_1 - \beta}{1 - \beta_1} I \right)^{1/2} x \right\|^2 \tag{4.5}$$

The right inequality in (4.1), for $\beta' < \delta$, $\beta' < \alpha_2$, $x \in \mathcal{D}(B)$, yields

$$0 \leq (J(A + B)x,x) - \beta' \|x\|^2$$

$$\leq (JAx,x) + \beta_2(|JA|x,x) + \alpha_2 \|x\|^2 - \beta' \|x\|^2$$

$$\leq (1 + \beta_2)(|JA|x,x) + (\alpha_2 - \beta') \|x\|^2$$

$$= (1 + \beta_2) \left\| \left(|JA| + \frac{\alpha_2 - \beta'}{1 + \beta_2} I \right)^{1/2} x \right\|^2 . \tag{4.6}$$

The norms on the right hand sides in (4.5) and (4.6) are equivalent to the norm $\| (|JA| + I)^{1/2} \cdot \|$ on $\mathcal{D}[JA]$. Since we have $\mathcal{D}[JA] = \mathcal{D}(|JA|^{1/2})$, the assumption that $\mathcal{D}(B)$ is a core of $|JA|^{1/2}$ implies that $\mathcal{D}(B)$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}(|JA|^{1/2})$ in the graph norm. According to Proposition 1.1, the set $\mathcal{D}(B)$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}[JA]^{\sim}$. Now, the inequalities (4.5) and (4.6) imply that $\mathcal{D}[JA]$ is the domain of the closure of the sesquilinear form $[(A + B)\cdot,\cdot]$ defined on $\mathcal{D}(B)$ (see [23, p.122]).

The Friedrichs extension S of the operator $J(A + B)$ is bounded from below and the domains of the closures of the sesquilinear forms $(J(A + B)\cdot,\cdot)$ and $(JS\cdot,\cdot)$ coincide. From the previous considerations and Remark 1.5 it follows that $\mathcal{D}[JA] = \mathcal{D}[JT]$. Since the operator T is definitizable, Corollary 3.6 implies that $\infty \notin c_s(A)$ if and only if $\infty \notin c_s(T)$. The theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

1.   Bayasgalan, Ts.: On the fundamental reducibility of
        positive operators in spaces with indefinite metric.
        (Russian.) Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 13 (1978), 143-150.

2.   Beals, R.: Indefinite Sturm-Liouville problems and
        half-range completeness. J. Differential Equations,
        to appear.

3.   Bognár, J.: Indefinite inner product spaces. Ergebnis-
        se der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 78, Springer-
        Verlag, Berlin / Heidelberg / New York, 1974.

4.   Coddington, E.A., de Snoo, H.S.V.: Regular boundary
        value problems associated with pairs of ordinary dif-
        ferential expressions. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 858,
        Springer-Verlag, Berlin / Heidelberg / New York, 1981.

5.   Ćurgus, B., Langer, H.: Spectral properties of self-
        adjoint ordinary differential operators with an indefi-
        nite weight function. Proceedings of the 1984 Workshop
        "Spectral theory of Sturm-Liouville differential oper-
        ators". ANL-84- 73, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne,
        Illinois, 1984, 73-80.

6.   Hess, P.: Zur Theorie der linearen Operatoren eines
        J-Raumes. Operatoren, die von kanonischen Zerlegungen
        reduziert werden. Math. Z. 106 (1968), 88-96.

7.   Jonas, P.: Über die Erhaltung der Stabilität J-positiver
        Operatoren bei J-positiven und J-negativen Störungen.
        Math. Nachr. 65 (1975), 211-218.

8. Jonas, P.: Relatively compact perturbations of uniformly J-positive operators. Akademie der Wissenschaften der DDR, Zentralinstitut für Mathematik und Mechanik, Preprint P-15/80, Berlin, 1980.

9. Jonas, P.: On the functional calculus and the spectral function for definitizable operators in Krein spaces. Beiträge Anal. 16 (1981), 121-135.

10. Jonas, P.: Compact perturbations of definitizable operators. II. J. Operator Theory 8 (1982), 3-18.

11. Jonas, P.: Regularity criteria for critical points of definitizable operators. Spectral theory of linear operators and related topics, 8th international conference on operator theory, Timişoara and Herculane (Romania), June 6-16, 1983. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications 14, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel / Boston / Stuttgart, 1984, 179-195.

12. Kaper, H.G., Kwong, M.K., Lekkerkerker, C.G., Zettl, A.: Full- and partial-range eigenfunction expansions for Sturm-Liouville problems with indefinite weights. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 98 (1984), 69-88.

13. Kato, T.: Perturbation theory for linear operators. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen 132, Springer-Verlag, Berlin / Heidelberg / New York, 1966.

14. Krein, M.G.: The theory of self-adjoint extensions of semi-bounded Hermitian transformations and its applications. I - II. (Russian.) Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 20 (62)(1947), 431-495, and 21 (63)(1947), 365-404.

15. Krein, M.G.: Completely continuous linear operators in function spaces with two norms. (Ukrainian.) Akad. Nauk Ukrain. RSR. Zbirnik Prac' Inst. Mat. 9 (1947), 104-129.

16. Krein, S.G.: Linear differential equations in Banach space. (Russian.) Izdatel'stvo "Nauka", Moscow / Leningrad, 1967.

17.   Langer, H.:   Maximal dual pairs of invariant subspaces
        of J-selfadjoint operators. (Russian.)   Mat. Zametki 7
        (1970), 443-447.

18.   Langer, H.:   Verallgemeinerte Resolventen eines J-nicht-
        negativen Operators mit endlichem Defekt.   J. Funct.
        Anal. 8 (1971), 287-320.

19.   Langer, H.:   Spectral functions of definitizable oper-
        ators in Krein spaces.   Functional analysis, Proceedings
        of a conference held at Dubrovnik, Yugoslavia,
        November 2-14, 1981.   Lecture Notes in Mathematics 948,
        Springer-Verlag, Berlin / Heidelberg / New York, 1982,
        1-46.

20.   Lax, P.D.:   Symmetrizable linear transformations.
        Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 7 (1954), 633-647.

21.   Reid, W.T.:   Symmetrizable completely continuous linear
        transformations in Hilbert space.   Duke Math. J. 18
        (1951), 41-56.

22.   Veselić, K.:   On spectral properties of a class of
        J-selfadjoint operators.   I.   Glasnik Mat. Ser. III 7
        (1972), 229-248.

23.   Weidmann, J.:   Linear operators in Hilbert spaces.
        Graduate Texts of Mathematics 68, Springer-Verlag,
        New York / Heidelberg / Berlin, 1980.

        Prirodno-matematički fakultet
        Univerziteta u Sarajevu
        Vojvode Putnika 43
        YU 71000 Sarajevo
        Yugoslavia